BUCHA	NAN COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING
	PUBLIC HEARING
	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 14, 2021
	
	Location:
F	Buchanan County Courthouse
	Tom Mann Room 411 Jules Street
	St. Joseph, MO 64501
REPORTED BY:	Pamela K. Koch, CCR

APPEARANCES

PLANNING & ZONING

Denise K. Embrey, Director

BOARD OF PLANNING AND ZONING MEMBERS

James F. Whitson Agency Township

Chairman

Steve W. Reardon Marion Township

Vice-Chairman

Glen Frakes Lake Township

Rodney Fry Bloomington Township

Fred Corkins
Platte Township
Patrick E. McLear
Alfred Purcell
Tremont Township
Shirley M. Day
Crawford Township

Not Present: Johnaphine Fenton

Scotty Paul Sharp Wayne D. Barnett

BUCHANAN COUNTY COMMISSION

Scott Burnham

LEGAL COUNSEL

Chad Gaddie

<<<<<	>>	>>>	>>>	•								<u>Page</u>
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Adam Wilmes		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4
REZONING REQUEST	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Nichole Faucett	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
REPORTER CERTIFICATE				•					•			54

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	PUBLIC HEARING WEDNESDAY, APRIL 14, 2021
3	MR. WHITSON: I've got 7 o'clock. I'm going to
4	call the meeting to order and call for roll.
5	MS. EMBREY: Al Purcell.
6	MR. PURCELL: Present.
7	MS. EMBREY: Steve Reardon.
8	MR. REARDON: Present.
9	MS. EMBREY: Fred Corkins.
10	MR. CORKINS: Present.
11	MS. EMBREY: Johnaphine Fenton. (No response.)
12	Wayne Dale Barnett. (No response.)
13	Fred Corkins.
14	MR. CORKINS: Present again.
15	MS. EMBREY: Okay. Scotty Paul Sharp. (No
16	response.)
17	Glen Frakes.
18	MR. FRAKES: Here.
19	MS. EMBREY: Shirley Day.
20	MS. DAY: Here.
21	MS. EMBREY: Rodney Fry.
22	MR. FRY: Present.
23	MS. EMBREY: Pat McLear.
24	MR. McLEAR: Here.
25	MS. EMBREY: Jim Whitson.

1 MR. WHITSON: Here. 2 MS. EMBREY: Chad Gaddie. 3 MR. GADDIE: Present. Scott Burnham. 4 MS. EMBREY: MR. BURNHAM: 5 Here. Okay. You all got your minutes from 6 MR. WHITSON: the October 14th meeting. Are there any additions or corrections? If not, they'll stand approved as read --8 9 as presented. 10 Conditional Use Permit - Andy Wilmes 11 Item #1 on Agenda 12 MR. WHITSON: Moving on to Item #1 on the Agenda. It's a Conditional Use Permit request by Adam Wilmes to 13 14 construct two single-family dwellings on a 15-acre 15 parcel located at 7300 SE 80th Road in St. Joseph, 16 Missouri. Is someone here representing this request? 17 Go ahead and state your name and address for the record, please. 18 19 MR. WILMES: Adam Wilmes. Current address is 12435 20 SE State Route DD, Gower, Missouri. 21 MR. WHITSON: What's your plans? 22 MR. WILMES: Just build a nice house for my family 23 and a shop for my toys. And then there will be a parcel

that's sold off in the future for a second dwelling for

a good friend of mine.

24

25

1	MR. WHITSON: Okay. You're going to just sell half
2	of it off?
3	MR. WILMES: Yeah.
4	MR. WHITSON: There's been some concern. Are you
5	planning on bringing your trucks in there?
6	MR. WILMES: No. My business stays in town. I
7	don't need my drivers out screwing with my kids and my,
8	you know, my soon-to-be wife gets out of the shower, and
9	I don't need a driver standing on the door going, "Hey."
10	MR. WHITSON: How big a house are you going to
11	build, do you know?
12	MR. WILMES: 2,250 square feet, plus basement.
13	MR. CORKINS: 2,250?
14	MR. WILMES: Yeah.
15	MR. WHITSON: And then what about the shop? How
16	big is that gonna be?
17	MR. WILMES: About 4,000 square feet.
18	MR. WHITSON: It'll be about 100 by 40 or 60 by
19	MR. WILMES: It's 90-some by 42.
20	MR. WHITSON: But you're not gonna work on any
21	stock trucks or anything there?
22	MR. WILMES: Just toys.
23	MR. WHITSON: Okay. Any questions from the
24	Commission?
25	MR. REARDON: Both drives will be on to 80th, both

1 driveways? 2 MR. WILMES: Yeah. Yeah. 3 MR. REARDON: Are you keeping the north half and 4 selling the south, or have you gotten there yet? MR. WILMES: I will be at the north half, yeah. 5 6 want the pond. MS. DAY: What currently goes on there? Is it row 8 crop or pasture? 9 MR. WILMES: It's just pasture. It's pasture. 10 MS. DAY: Are there livestock on it currently? 11 MR. WILMES: No, there's no fences. 12 MR. FRAKES: Do they hay it? They did, yeah. They'll still hay the 13 MR. WILMES: 14 majority of it. I don't want to mow 15 acres. 15 MR. WHITSON: Any other questions? 16 MR. WILMES: We've already got the soil morphology, 17 and it's good for a conventional system. 18 MR. WHITSON: Okay. Anybody else? All right, 19 thank you. Anyone here in opposition of this request? 20 MR. ALLISON: No, but I have a question. 21 MR. WHITSON: Come forward and give your name and 22 address for the record, Steve. 23 MR. ALLISON: Steve Allison, 7020 SE 80th Road. 24 just wondered -- I was told that -- I own a piece of 25 land on that side of the creek, a couple acres.

told I would have to have access to that if this was sold off. I've always had it because I've known who owned it and they would let me go across there to hay it. Am I going to lose access to my property over there on that side?

MR. WHITSON: That you would have to ask Legal.

MR. GADDIE: I don't know the layout. You probably would have to have a private attorney to make sure that you covered that. But you can't landlock that side.

MR. ALLISON: Well, that would be the thing. It would be.

MR. GADDIE: Yeah. Then you would be entitled to some type of easement.

MR. ALLISON: Some type of -- but would I have to file for an easement or something? All I would be doing was wanting to take a tractor over there to cut the hay and bale it.

MR. GADDIE: I'd talk to that landowner.

MR. WILMES: It's a real small piece in the corner, and it's real hard to get to. I'm not going to keep anybody off there. It wouldn't alter the grass. I maybe would even try to step up and maintain it for you, you know, because it is kind of an odd corner, I mean, yeah.

MR. ALLISON: Well, I had to have 10 acres to build

1 my house on, and that came across the creek. 2 MR. WILMES: Squared it off. 3 MR. ALLISON: So we have a bridge to go across it -- to walk across it. We don't have any road. 4 MR. WHITSON: All right. Thank you. 5 6 MR. ALLISON: Thank you. 7 MR. WHITSON: Now, we can put conditions on this if you want. Like, we can't separate any more -- divide 8 9 any more houses off of it. Or we can just vote on it 10 however you want. Any other comment? I'm Mike Bolton. I live at 7987 SE 11 MR. BOLTON: 12 169 Highway. I quess one thing that I'm curious about is what is the definition of a Conditional Residential 13 14 Permit? 15 MR. WHITSON: A Conditional Residential Permit is he's getting a permit to build these two houses, and 16 17 that's it. 18 MR. BOLTON: So he mentioned he was going to build 19 a garage. 20 Well, yeah, that's considered a --MR. WHITSON: Outbuilding. 21 MR. REARDON: 22 MR. WHITSON: -- support structure. So he can 23 build that, yeah. 24 MR. BOLTON: He can build that? 25 MR. WHITSON: Yeah.

1 And later on down the road, is there a MR. BOLTON: 2 minimum amount of acreage that he would need if he sold 3 part of the land off to someone else to build a house? 4 MR. WHITSON: Well, that's why he's coming in here. He can do -- actually just with a Conditional Use, three 5 acres is the smallest he can go, isn't it? 6 MS. EMBREY: Two. MR. WHITSON: Two acres? 8 MS. EMBREY: Yeah. 9 10 MR. WHITSON: But if he would sell it off, they 11 would have to come in and get a Conditional Use to build 12 a house. 13 MR. BOLTON: Okay. 14 MR. WHITSON: He can't build any more than these 15 two houses without coming back to us. 16 MR. BOLTON: Okay. That's all I need, thank you. 17 MR. WHITSON: Okay. Any other comment? Hearing no 18 comment, I'll call the hearing closed and call for roll. 19 MS. EMBREY: Alfred Purcell. 20 MR. PURCELL: Yes, best use. 21 MS. EMBREY: Steve Reardon. 22 MR. REARDON: Yes, appropriate use. 23 MS. EMBREY: Fred Corkins. 24 MR. CORKINS: Yes, appropriate use. 25 MS. EMBREY: Glen Frakes.

1	MR. FRAKES: No, agricultural ground.
2	MS. EMBREY: Shirley Day.
3	MS. DAY: No, agriculture.
4	MS. EMBREY: Rodney Fry.
5	MR. FRY: Yes, best use.
6	MS. EMBREY: Pat McLear.
7	MR. McLEAR: No, agricultural land.
8	MS. EMBREY: Mr. Whitson.
9	MR. WHITSON: Yes, best use.
10	MS. EMBREY: Three to five.
11	MR. WHITSON: Okay, that did pass. There is an
12	appeal process. But we didn't have any real objections
13	to it, but you've got 30 days to make an appeal on this
14	if you so desire.
15	Rezoning Request Item #2
16	Herzog Contracting Corporation
17	MR. WHITSON: Moving on to Item #2 on the agenda is
18	a rezoning request by Herzog Contracting Corporation
19	from A-1 General Agriculture to A-2 Commercial
20	Agriculture on an eight-acre parcel located at 7870 SE
21	169 Highway in St. Joe, in order to offer veterinarian
22	service to the public. Is there anyone here
23	representing this request?
24	MR. NEWVILLE: My name is Kenny Newville, 4505
25	North Lakewood, and I work with Herzog. This property

has been on the market for the better part of a year, seven/eight acres off of Highway 169 with high traffic counts. And the market is telling us that the highest and best use is the A-2, which would be a semi-low density agricultural -- commercial agricultural-type use, again, on Highway 169 with relatively high traffic counts. Nothing in this request is going to change that. So if you all would be willing to hear, I'd like to introduce Dr. Eric and Shelly Haeker, who will be the veterinarians.

MR. WHITSON: Okay. That'd be fine.

MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Good evening. Eric Haeker,
Shelly Haeker. We live at 15248 County Road 338 in
Savannah. We own two veterinary clinics currently in
St. Joseph, Countryside Veterinary Clinic and East Hills
Veterinary Clinic.

MR. WHITSON: Could you speak up just a little bit? We normally have a sound system.

MS. SHELLY HAEKER: I'm sorry. I can't hear out of my right ear, so I can't tell if I'm being really loud or not.

MR. WHITSON: No, you're fine.

MS. SHELLY HAEKER: We own two clinics in St. Joseph, Missouri. We own Countryside Veterinary Clinic and we own East Hills Veterinary Clinic in town.

We are looking to -- we've outgrown our current location at the Countryside location. And we're looking to take the one from East Hills Veterinary Clinic, which is currently in, like, a shopping mall, and move it to that location down there. So that's kind of the plan. We're staying with the other location and still keeping it in St. Joe.

MR. WHITSON: You're talking large animals, I mean --

MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It would be a mixed animal practice. Initially it would be small because we've been seven years trying to recruit veterinarians to St. Joe. We're having a very difficult time getting some here. All the clinics in town are short on veterinarians.

FROM THE AUDIENCE: Could you turn around just a little bit? We can't hear you very well.

MS. SHELLY HAEKER: We've been trying for seven years to recruit veterinarians to St. Joe with no luck. And the other clinics in town are also short on veterinarians. We've kind of noticed a trend where students are starting to get into more mixed animal idea, including our own son, who is a first-year veterinary student.

We have one perspective veterinary student from --

he's a veterinarian from Western Kansas who's maybe interested in coming here. He is mixed animal. We have no large animal veterinarians at all in Buchanan County. And the ones that are in the outlying areas, several of them are getting older.

So currently in St. Joseph there is only our practice that does emergency services. The other ones all send everyone to Kansas City. So that's what we're looking for is initially it's going to be small animal. And if we can get someone here to do large animals, we will.

DR. ERIC HAEKER: I am not.

- MS. SHELLY HAEKER: He is not.
- MR. WHITSON: Do you have any perspective on what your client count would be out at that location?
- MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Honestly, the only thing I can tell you for sure is the client count we have at the location we would move to it initially. And we're seeing about 25 a day there.
 - DR. ERIC HAEKER: I would say 25 to 35.
 - MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Small animal.
- MR. WHITSON: Okay. Questions from the Commission? Are you looking to renovate any -- what building are you going to put the actual clinic in?
- DR. ERIC HAEKER: The clinic could be in the house.

2 Yeah, it would be -- help me out. DR. ERIC HAEKER: 3 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: We're keeping part of the house 4 as a residential space for particularly the prospective veterinarian we're trying to get here. But if he 5 wouldn't come, then we would, you know, hopefully entice 6 maybe some veterinary students, some people come for internships, so they would live there. 8 9 MR. WHITSON: Basically, it's going to be the 10 garage is what you're going to convert? 11 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Mostly the house. 12 DR. ERIC HAEKER: The house. 13 MR. WHITSON: Just the house? 14 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Mostly the house and a portion 15 of the garage. 16 MR. WHITSON: Okay. 17 As you move to large animals, what do MR. PURCELL: you envision in terms of the large animal being there? 18 19 DR. ERIC HAEKER: That's a good question. Like I 20 say, I don't want to particularly do it. I'm too old. 21 I wish I had started a long time ago. But keep the --22 our oldest son is at the University of Missouri now, and 23 that's always been his dream job to do large 24 animal-mixed animal practice. So that's part of what's

pushing us. But like she said, I think it's a huge

In the house part?

1

25

MR. WHITSON:

1 trend for universities accepting mixed animal practice, 2 which is a good thing. 3 And we're not set up for that at all. It's hard to find a veterinarian of any size, shape, whatever. 4 think St. Joe, honestly, is down to around seven total 5 doctors, and we're three of them. 6 MR. PURCELL: Were there large animals on this 8 property before? 9 DR. ERIC HAEKER: It's set up for equine. 10 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: I believe there were before 11 they owned it. 12 MR. REARDON: The Bounds had --The Bounds had lots of horses. 13 MR. WHITSON: 14 DR. ERIC HAEKER: It's all set up for horses. 15 had horses. 16 MR. WHITSON: They had horses and a few cows there. 17 MR. PURCELL: A few cows, horses, goats. 18 They had miniature horses. MR. FRY: They had 19 miniature cows. They weren't full-size cows. 20 They had a little bit of everything. MR. WHITSON: 21 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: I mean, predominately in large 22 animal veterinary medicine, they go to farms with their 23 trucks. 24 Right. MR. WHITSON: 25 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Predominately. But they do

1 bring -- I mean, I don't know if we can find someone who 2 is interested in doing the equine portion of it. 3 would be great if we could, I mean, because we don't have anything around here. MR. PURCELL: But large animals would be on a rare 5 occasion if they would come, then. 6 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: There would be -- there would be -- I really couldn't say. 8 9 DR. ERIC HAEKER: I would say yes, just because I don't want --10 11 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It wouldn't be in large 12 quantities, I mean. 13 MR. REARDON: Usually you wouldn't board them but 14 maybe a night and they'd be gone? They're in and out 15 pretty quickly. I suppose you wouldn't have animals there all the time. 16 17 DR. ERIC HAEKER: Large animals? 18 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Small animals, yes, large 19 animals, no. 20 DR. ERIC HAEKER: Yeah. 21 MR. FRAKES: You'll have to build some sort of 22 holding pens or something, won't you? 23 MR. WHITSON: There's lots of holding pens. 24 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: There's lots of holding pens 25 there. It's, like, perfectly set up for it. There's

1 stalls. 2 DR. ERIC HAEKER: There's a shed to the west, I think it is. 3 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Attached to the back of the 4 property is already large animal stalls. 5 MR. WHITSON: There's several stalls and several 6 barns there. MS. SHELLY HAEKER: We would board dogs there, but 8 9 they would be inside, probably in one of the already 10 existing structures. They would go out to potty, but 11 they would board inside. 12 MR. PURCELL: Clientele visitation max, like, 30 to 13 35 you said? 14 DR. ERIC HAEKER: I can't lie to that. 15 some point it's more than that. MR. PURCELL: 16 Yeah. 17 DR. ERIC HAEKER: Because the clinic that we're 18 moving, it's pretty small. But I don't know that 19 number. I can just safely say I would assume it would 20 be that. I hope it's not less. But that would be a 21 good count for what is represented at the East Hills 22 Clinic that we're semi-transferring to that clinic. MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Our current clinic we have was 23 24 started by my father-in-law, Dr. Darrell Haeker, in

1973. So it's been there for 48 years. I brought

25

photos of our other practice if you want to see how it's 1 2 kept. We're in a residential area. We have houses to 3 our east, north, and Meierhoffer's to our west. MS. DAY: What about parking? DR. ERIC HAEKER: Lots of it. 5 MS. DAY: I mean, there's a lot of property, but I 6 don't see a lot of parking. 8 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It would be up by the house. 9 DR. ERIC HAEKER: It's way more than we have now. Yeah, there's a lot of parking. 10 11 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It would be up by the house in 12 the front. 13 DR. ERIC HAEKER: All this strip right there here, 14 that would be converted. That's a big area. MS. DAY: And then what's your projected use for 15 16 the remainder of the property -- all this? 17 DR. ERIC HAEKER: Right now, short of -- to look good. It's pretty. I would want to take my dog there, 18 19 honestly. I don't see what, short of exercising a dog 20 on lead that is hospitalized or something, I don't see 21 it getting developed per se. 22 MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It has more structures on it 23 than we need. 24 DR. ERIC HAEKER: Than we need, yeah. MR. WHITSON: Any other questions from the

25

1	Commission?
2	MR. CORKINS: The map we have, are these property
3	lines correct?
4	MR. WHITSON: Yes.
5	MR. CORKINS: So the driveway would belong to that
6	property?
7	MR. WHITSON: Yes.
8	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: No. The beginning of the
9	driveway is on an easement that belongs to Mr. Price.
10	MR. CORKINS: That's not what this map shows.
11	MR. WHITSON: That's not the way it's drawn up on
12	the map.
13	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It's not real accurate. It's
14	my understanding it comes down more like this.
15	MR. CORKINS: I mean, our map shows Scott and
16	Wendy's driveway being on this property.
17	MR. WHITSON: Yeah.
18	MR. CORKINS: So this is not right? I didn't think
19	it was. That's why I'm asking.
20	MR. WHITSON: See, here's the driveway he's talking
21	about.
22	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: It's my understanding that it
23	comes down more, yeah, like that.
24	MR. CORKINS: Like this?
25	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Yeah. So that whole part of

1	the driveway belongs to him.
2	MR. CORKINS: This would be here?
3	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: That might be
4	MR. CORKINS: And this was an easement?
5	DR. ERIC HAEKER: That's an easement, yes, sir.
6	(Further short inaudible
7	discussion between individuals
8	looking at the map.)
9	MR. WHITSON: Maybe that's drawn up like that
10	because these are easements that they're dealing with.
11	MR. CORKINS: Okay.
12	MR. WHITSON: That would be my guess, Fred. I
13	don't know for a fact.
14	Okay, any other questions? Thank you.
15	DR. ERIC HAEKER: Thank you.
16	MS. SHELLY HAEKER: Thank you.
17	OPPOSITION TESTIMONY TO ITEM #2
18	MR. WHITSON: Is there anyone here in opposition to
19	this request? We do have two comments referenced that
20	was passed out earlier this evening.
21	JERE LOYD
22	MR. LOYD: My name is Jere Loyd. I represent
23	Kenneth Price. His property is adjacent to the subject
24	of this application.
25	MR. WHITSON: We need vour address, please.

MR. LOYD: My address is 3715 Beck Road, Suite 104, St. Joseph.

MR. WHITSON: Okay.

MR. LOYD: Mr. Price's property is, I think, immediately southwest to this and adjacent to it. And it is over his property that the driveway juts out to the highway. Because the subject property is, in fact, landlocked.

There is a joint easement which is contemplated for residential use. This is a residential area with three residential properties around it. It's my understanding until you drive up to the St. Joseph county line -- city line -- city limits and about three miles away, there's no commercial enterprises along this route.

This would dramatically change the character of the residential neighborhood. It would conceivably cause an increase in traffic over that stretch of the driveway from the road to the entrance to the subject property, which isn't accurately depicted on the County GIS graphical image. And we will have a survey here to show that.

There is certainly a provision for joint use. But we're kind of concerned about the overburdening of traffic on this residential driveway, which isn't designed, or wide enough, for this purpose. My client's

daughter waits for the bus at the end of this driveway on the highway, and we can contemplate large animals being brought in by a trailer or large truck, which would be an impediment to that safety.

The classic neighborhood setting would be certainly dramatically changed by the presence of a continuing commercial operation and the proposed traffic that we anticipate that that would bring.

For those reasons, we object to this and suggest that this is not consistent with the character of the area, and that the change from an agricultural residential to agricultural commercial is merely for economic gain by the seller and is certainly inconsistent with the interest of those around it. Thank you.

MR. PURCELL: Mr. Loyd, a question.

MR. LOYD: Certainly.

MR. PURCELL: I drive by this probably four to six times a day. And is there a semi that's on the Prices' property? Is there a semi parked on that property?

MR. LOYD: It's my understanding that Mr. Price may have one. I don't know.

MR. PURCELL: Because I see that semi there.

MR. WHITSON: No, that's Scott Davis that lives in this house here.

1	MR. CORKINS: It's Scott's. It's the next
2	neighbor.
3	MR. LOYD: A neighbor, and I'm not sure which one
4	it is.
5	MR. PURCELL: And where's the Price home?
6	MR. FRY: The Price home is up here.
7	MR. PURCELL: It sets way back up the hill. Okay.
8	But there is a semi that is in that driveway.
9	MR. CORKINS: In the neighbor's, not in
10	Mr. Price's.
11	MR. PURCELL: I understand. But there's a semi
12	that has ingress/egress out of that common driveway.
13	MR. CORKINS: No, no. It's a separate driveway.
14	MR. PURCELL: Right next to it, yeah.
15	MR. LOYD: It's between the subject property and
16	the Price property there's an easement.
17	MR. WHITSON: He's got his own driveway.
18	MR. PURCELL: Got it.
19	MR. WHITSON: Any other questions? Anyone else
20	wish to speak?
21	MR. PRICE: This might help show what we're talking
22	about.
23	(Brief inaudible discussion off
24	the record.)
25	MR. PRICE: Kenneth Price, 7890 SE 169 Highway here

in St. Joe. As far as the proposal to rezone this to commercial, the first and foremost would probably be the child safety issue. You can't pull trailers in. don't know if it's going to be large animals, possibly could be. Don't know how many. Don't know how much traffic count. But that is a bus stop. It could be multiple kids. It could be one kid. We don't know, but we know that it is a bus stop and it's used right now for a bus stop. We've got pictures showing at 6:45 in the morning when the kid's picked up. In today's time, it's fairly dark. Three months of the year it's dark when you get on and get off during daylight savings time. It's just unsafe to have people pulling trailers in with little kids, I mean, and it's not designed for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If it's made commercial, it would seem what I gave the easement for in 2004, December 16th of 2004, I gave that easement because it was going to be one residential home. That was it. Ingress and egress for the utilities. Now we're talking about it could be 25/35 cars a day. It could be 100 cars a day. We don't know. But we know that I didn't give the easement for that prospect -- for that to be commercial.

I know that they've had it on the market for a year, a year and a half. It was built in '05 as a

single-family dwelling. I gave the easement for that. It sold in 2018 as a single-family dwelling. They used it as a single-family dwelling. And now that they can't sell it or it benefits them, they want to change the residential property to commercial.

It would totally change the area. It does add a bunch of traffic. It could be a vet today, but if you zone that commercial, it could be anything. It could be a bigger company than Countryside Veterinary. We can't do that.

It shows -- this shows Scott Davis is here. Here's the driveway coming in. They have an easement right here off of the highway that I gave for ingress and egress. They're landlocked. I believe everybody that landlocks that property is against this. I mean, I'm sure you're going to hear from them. But it wasn't built for commercial, and it shouldn't be commercial.

MR. WHITSON: Any questions? All right, thank you.

MR. PRICE: Thanks, guys.

MR. WHITSON: Anybody else wish to speak? You want these back, Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: No, thank you.

MR. WHITSON: Here, Steve. I know the property well.

MR. DAVIS: I'm Scott Davis and my wife Wendy. We

are the property owners at 7860 SE 169. And you brought up the question about the semi. That is where we do live. 7870 sits right behind us, which would be our south border. So, obviously, they're looking to make a huge change here. And if you look at the first picture that I have on there -- we've been there 23 years at this property. So 169, obviously there's a lot of traffic, and over 23 years, it has increased substantially.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've been driving for 27 years. I do drive a semi. And as you look at that first picture, you can see if -- you're actually going east and west in front. don't actually go north and south until you get to Gower So if you look up to the west, you would see a slight grade going that way, and it's very -- it's very tricky. The speed limit is 60 miles an hour coming over there. And that's one of the few places you can actually pass. If you're going south on 169 towards Gower, that's one of the few places you can pass. And people, I mean, they're just flying as they come. So you've really, really got to watch.

I do drive a semi and I do pull out of there. I pull out very early in the morning, so I can actually see headlights coming. If you're trying to come out of there with however many ever trailers or trucks with

farm animals on it and large animals, it would just be extremely, extremely dangerous.

The second picture that I have on there would show our property line from the driveway. We're not talking this driveway's just down the road. It's 32 inches from our property line to the driveway at 7870.

The next picture will show you a view of I'm standing in our backyard. I'm standing in our backyard and you look up towards their driveway towards the house. That's how close we are. It's just extremely close. I just think that the change that you're asking, or they're asking, to make this would just be detrimental to everybody and very dangerous.

They're talking about -- you know, they don't know the number of cars and trailers. If it's a newer business, yeah, they say 25 or 30. But they're going to want to grow their business so, you know, the number's unknown of how many cars and trucks and trailers will be coming in and out.

The other picture that we do have on there shows how close our driveways are with Kenny Price's and the easement he's given to them, and we have had a number of countless cars actually trying to go to the Herzog place while they turn into our driveway. So then they have to make a turn in our drive. Well, any time somebody comes

on your property, you know, it's a liability on you. But then they also would have to get back onto 169. And if they're pulling a trailer and make a tight turn back into the driveway that they're trying to get to for 7870.

So, you know, I understand maybe a large animal vet is needed in St. Joe. But as far as this location, it's not right for it. It's the wrong spot.

We have 64 acres just to the west of us. We've got 68 acres to the east of us. And this is farm country. This isn't a place for a commercial business.

I'll say in closing, you know, for us, we have 169 on the front of our house. When we built our house, we specifically angled it so we would have -- it was pasture behind us at the time. And we angled it so we would have some privacy in our backyard, because 169 is on the front. And I just feel like, you know, in that regards, that we'll lose everything. As far as privacy, we'll have none. So I just, I thank you for your time and I hope that --

MR. WHITSON: Any questions for Mr. Davis?

MR. McLEAR: We couldn't hear you over here.

What's your last name?

MR. DAVIS: Davis. So I just ask you to do the right thing tonight and not pass the zoning for it.

Thank you.

MR. WHITSON: Now, I will make this statement. On a rezoning request like this, we don't actually make a decision. We will vote and we pass it to the County Commission, and they have the final say on it.

MR. DAVIS: Okay.

MR. WHITSON: We are a recommendation board for rezoning, so we will not be making the final decision on this. The County Commission will at a later date. And regardless of how we vote, you can come to the County Commission's meeting and state your opinions there. So on this particular item on a rezoning, we're just a recommendation board. We're not going to make a final decision tonight.

MR. DAVIS: Okay.

MR. WHITSON: That's just for everybody in regards to this. Any other questions for Mr. Davis? Okay. Thank you.

MR. McLEAR: I've got a question. The gentleman that was up here previously --

MR. WHITSON: Yeah.

MR. McLEAR: I've been sitting here thinking about what he said. He gave an easement. Is there any record of that that it was only for a home?

MR. WHITSON: An easement's just -- it's just a

1	land easement.
2	MR. McLEAR: It's a legal document, isn't it?
3	MR. WHITSON: It wouldn't have a specification on
4	it. It's just an easement for
5	(Inaudible comments.)
6	MR. WHITSON: Who else wishes to speak? Any
7	questions for the Commission? I'll call the hearing
8	closed and call for roll.
9	MS. EMBREY: Al Purcell.
10	MR. PURCELL: Yes, I approve the request to rezone
11	on General Agriculture to Commercial Agriculture.
12	MS. EMBREY: Steve Reardon.
13	MR. REARDON: No, it's not appropriate.
14	MS. EMBREY: Fred Corkins.
15	MR. CORKINS: No, too much opposition.
16	MS. EMBREY: Glen Frakes.
17	MR. FRAKES: No, not appropriate.
18	MS. EMBREY: Shirley Day.
19	MS. DAY: No, opposition.
20	MS. EMBREY: Rodney Fry.
21	MR. FRY: No, too much opposition.
22	MS. EMBREY: Pat McLear.
23	MR. McLEAR: No, too much liability for the other
24	people on the easement on that driveway.
25	MS. EMBREY: Jim Whitson.

1 MR. WHITSON: No, too congested right there. 2 Okay. That will go to the County Commission with 3 that recommendation. They will act on it within 30 4 days, I presume. Conditional Use Permit - Nichole Faucett 5 6 Item #3 on Agenda 7 MR. WHITSON: And moving on to Item #3 on the It's a Conditional Use Permit request by 8 agenda. Nichole Faucett; Faucett, Missouri 64448 to construct 9 10 one single-family dwelling on a five-acre parcel of 11 ground split from a 96.5-acre parcel of ground located 12 on SE State Route CC in Faucett, Missouri. Anyone here 13 representing this request? 14 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: We're just wanting to 15 split --MR. WHITSON: We need your name and address. 16 17 MS. FAUCETT: Nichole Faucett, 15270 State Route 18 CC, Faucett. 19 MR. WHITSON: Okay. 20 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: We're just wanting to split 21 off 5.01 acres to build a single-family dwelling. 22 MR. WHITSON: For? 23 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: For my daughter. 24 MR. WHITSON: For your daughter? Is it going to be 25 a stick house? What kind of --

1 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Probably a barndominium. 2 MR. WHITSON: Okay. 3 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: We've been waiting for this 4 approval to move forward. MR. WHITSON: Any questions from the Commission? 5 Who currently owns the 97 acres? 6 MS. DAY: MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: It's being --MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I do. 8 9 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Currently he owns it. 10 we both own part of it that she's getting. 11 MS. DAY: Who is "I Do"? I'm sorry. 12 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Neil Faucett. 13 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Neil Faucett. 14 MR. WHITSON: Neil Faucett. Is it in the Family 15 Trust or is it --16 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: It's in the Family Trust. 17 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Yeah, it's in the Family 18 Trust. 19 MR. WHITSON: Faucett Family Trust? 20 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Yes. 21 MR. WHITSON: Any other questions? 22 MR. FRAKES: Was there a reason for moving this 23 from the north end down to where you did? 24 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Accessibility. 25 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Yes. That would be the best

1 way to put it. 2 MR. REARDON: The only comment --3 MR. FRAKES: What? 4 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Accessibility. MR. McLEAR: What would keep you, if we approved 5 this, from moving it again? Is there anything --6 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Do what? MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: We didn't move forward with 8 the first one. 9 10 I'm saying they had it up on one end MR. McLEAR: 11 And then it ended up on the other end. before. 12 MR. WHITSON: Well, we didn't vote on that one. 13 They withdrew it. 14 MR. McLEAR: They could put it anywhere they want 15 to all over that ground. MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: We withdrew it. We haven't 16 17 done anything with that. 18 They haven't ever done anything with MR. WHITSON: 19 They changed the location of it, and that's why 20 they had to come tonight instead of doing it the other 21 night, because it was in the wrong location at the time. 22 The legal description didn't match where they wanted to 23 put it. So they had to get that straightened out before 24 we could come forward with it tonight. 25 Is there a legal for this one? MR. FRAKES:

1	MR. WHITSON: Is there what?
2	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I am on the I am stated
3	for a survey. I have been on the wait list for over two
4	months.
5	MR. FRAKES: If we approve this, you could put that
6	anywhere on that ground if you wanted to.
7	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We were told we could go ahead
8	and apply for this.
9	MR. FRAKES: I don't care what you was told, but
10	I'm just saying you have to have a legal description for
11	that.
12	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We were told by your Zoning
13	Director that we could use this as an application. We
14	threw that out so we could apply.
15	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Until the survey is
16	MR. FRAKES: Well, you could put it back up here.
17	MR. PURCELL: Who's the guy talking?
18	MR. WHITSON: You need to give your name.
19	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Neil Faucett, 15280 SE State
20	Route CC, Faucett, Missouri.
21	MR. WHITSON: Conditional Use on the five acres.
22	We're not Conditional Use on the whole 96.5 acres.
23	MR. FRAKES: I understand that.
24	MR. WHITSON: Yeah. They could put the house
25	anywhere on this five acres.

1 Yeah, or they could put it any place MR. FRAKES: 2 on that because it's not surveyed. You don't know 3 where. They don't got no legal for it. MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Can this Conditional Use Permit be subject to Legal, then, upon the survey? 5 MR. GADDIE: It seems fair to me. 6 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Pardon me? MR. GADDIE: Yeah, it seems reasonable to me. 8 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: 9 Okay. 10 MR. GADDIE: As long as it's consistent with what 11 you have --12 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: What we have drawn. 13 MR. GADDIE: Yeah. 14 MR. REARDON: The only comment I have on something 15 like this, seeing this where you've drawn this, is if 16 this remains farmed, at some point if a family member 17 doesn't own this five acres, they own part of your waterway. I mean, I've had this happen where you lose 18 19 control of whatever happens in the future. If that 20 other part is going to remain farm ground, you know, at 21 some point a family member might not own that five 22 acres, and then you'll lose control of that waterway. 23 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Right. 24 MR. REARDON: And your agriculture is --25 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: The waterway? Did you say the

waterway?

MR. REARDON: The waterway that is on the back part of that property. If I was doing this, I would do it something like this so you keep your waterways. But the way it is now, you lose control of your waterway.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Well, I agree with that. And what we thought -- if I can make a statement?

Originally, Nichole owns 3.32 acres, or something, right here where her house is. We were told originally under the County Statutes, I thought, if she increased her acreage to five acres, then she could give an acre to her daughter.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Two acres.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Two acres to her daughter, and we wouldn't have to go and subdivide that off. We were told that is not correct. Even though it's in the Zoning Regulations, it's not correct.

MR. REARDON: See, I don't understand that either.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: But what we're really wanting to do -- would like to do, is increase hers to five acres. She's got three and a half now. And that would just move it right back here. And then she could give two acres to her daughter and build a house right here, and we wouldn't take anything out of ag. That would be the simplest possible thing to do.

MR. REARDON: You can go as low as two acres.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Well, we were told two, and then we were told 5.01. That's why it says 5.01.

MS. EMBREY: That's because you have already created a subdivision on that property. There's three parcels under 5.01 acres, so that's a subdivision.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I'm just trying to make it simple and take the least amount of land out of ag production. And if we would do it the simple way, we would take very little out at all, and not create any diversion. There wouldn't be any interference to the waterway or the ag property or anything. And we thought that would -- it's in the County Zoning Laws. But we were told that was not correct.

MS. EMBREY: What was in the County Zoning Laws?

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: That she could have five acres.

If she had four acres, I think, then she could give two to her sibling.

MS. EMBREY: But see, no, because that's a subdivision. It's creating a subdivision.

MR. WHITSON: You can only take two parcels off of something without creating a subdivision. This would be the third parcel regardless of what the size is. It's still a subdivision.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Well, the question we had

was, I own 3.23 acres, whether it came off of his 96
acres or not. It is now mine. I own it.

MR. WHITSON: Okay.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: So why couldn't I subdivide what I own? How can you keep going back and back and back? Because initially it wasn't his and it was subdivided. You see what I'm saying? That's where the confusion is all coming from.

MR. WHITSON: Well, it came off the original farm.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Right.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Yes.

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Right.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Hers and her sister's came off the original farm. Mine came off the original farm before there was zoning.

MS. EMBREY: Three parcels came off of that.

MR. PURCELL: What are we being asked to approve?

MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I want her to build a house on whether it's two acres or five acres. Whatever you say I would have to give to her, I will give to her.

MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Or I will.

MR. WHITSON: Well, the five acres is what we're here tonight doing. We're not doing the three or the five or the two or anything else. We're doing five is what we're doing.

```
1
              MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: And if that's what it has to
 2
         be, then --
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: If that's what the law -- the
 3
         Zoning Regulations say would have to be, then that's
 4
         what we're requesting. We'll make it subject to the
 5
 6
         legal.
              MR. PURCELL: Well, I'm totally confused.
              MR. FRAKES: If we approve the third, will that
 8
         make it a subdivision?
 9
10
              MS. EMBREY: The fourth one, you mean, the one that
11
         she's asking for?
12
              MR. FRAKES:
                           Yes. Yeah.
                           That will exempt it from the
13
              MS. EMBREY:
14
         subdivision.
                        If it's 5.1.
15
              MS. DAY:
16
              MS. EMBREY:
                           If it's 5.01, yes.
17
              MR. WHITSON:
                            If it's less than five acres, then it
18
         has to be -- because it's already been divided off three
19
         times.
20
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: She lives here. My daughter
21
         lives here.
22
              MR. PURCELL: Got it.
23
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I live up here.
24
              MR. PURCELL:
                            Okay.
25
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: My other daughter lives here.
```

1	MR. PURCELL: Yeah.
2	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We're asking to make that 5.01
3	acres right here
4	MR. PURCELL: Got it.
5	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: As a mini Conditional Use Permit
6	for her to build a house right here on the corner.
7	That's what we want to do.
8	MR. PURCELL: I've got it. That's all I needed to
9	know. Because I'm hearing two acres, three acres,
10	bequeathing two acres to a child.
11	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: It's been confusing for us
12	too.
13	MR. PURCELL: So it's 5.11 (sic.) acres, period,
14	right there.
15	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: That's what we said we had to
16	have.
17	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Yes, that is what's drawn
18	out.
19	MR. WHITSON: That's the way it's drawn up.
20	MR. NICHOLE FAUCETT: And it's not moving.
21	MR. FRY: Was there a Conditional Use Permit for
22	your house?
23	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: No.
24	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Yes.
25	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: No. I did not need one. He

1 gifted it to me. 2 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I gifted it to her -- first 3 sibling. MR. WHITSON: You can give one to family. 4 MR. FRY: 5 Okay. It was probably before --6 MR. WHITSON: MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: It was 20 years ago. MR. WHITSON: Yeah. Any other questions? Any 8 questions? 9 10 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Trying to keep it simple. 11 MR. WHITSON: Anyone here in opposition to this 12 request? 13 BOARD MEMBER: Your daughter. 14 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: No, my other daughter. BOARD MEMBER: There has to be a little bit of 15 humor here once in a while. 16 MR. WHITSON: What we're going to vote on is 17 18 Conditional Use for the five acres that's drawn off 19 subject to a survey. Does everybody understand? Call 20 for roll. MS. EMBREY: Al Purcell. 21 22 MR. PURCELL: Yes, providing it doesn't move. 23 BOARD MEMBER: Not a mobile home, you're saying? 24 MR. PURCELL: No. I mean, providing this piece of 25 land that you've identified doesn't move around.

```
1
              MS. EMBREY: Steve Reardon.
 2
              MR. REARDON: Yes, appropriate use.
              MS. EMBREY: Fred Corkins.
 3
 4
              MR. CORKINS: Yes, family use.
              MS. EMBREY: Glen Frakes.
 5
              MR. FRAKES: No, ag ground.
 6
 7
              MS. EMBREY: Shirley Day.
              MS. DAY: No, ag ground. I can't do it.
 8
 9
              MS. EMBREY: Rodney Fry.
10
              MR. FRY: No, ag ground.
11
              MS. EMBREY:
                           Pat McLear.
12
              MR. McLEAR: No, ag ground.
              MS. EMBREY: Mr. Whitson.
13
14
              MR. WHITSON: Yes, best use. So that ended up --
15
              MS. EMBREY: It's a tie.
              MR. WHITSON: It's a tie.
16
17
              MR. GADDIE: We've never encountered that.
18
              MS. EMBREY: No, I've never.
19
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Well, this goes to the County
20
         Commission.
21
              MR. WHITSON: This does not go to the County
22
         Commission.
23
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Oh, it doesn't?
              MR. WHITSON: This is a Conditional Use.
24
25
         would go to the Zoning Adjustment Board, I presume,
```

would be the next step, wouldn't it? 1 2 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Can I make a comment? 3 MR. WHITSON: Sure. MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We're not going to take that out 4 of ag production. Her house is going to be on the 5 corner, and that's going to remain in ag production as 6 long as we're alive -- as long as I'm alive. MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: She doesn't need that much. 8 MS. TAYLOR FAUCETT: I don't need that much. 9 If I 10 need to sign something that says ag land is staying --11 My only concern is that I know that you MS. DAY: 12 believe that now, but let's say --13 MR. FRAKES: 10 years down the road. 14 MS. DAY: -- 10 years down -- 15, 20, 30 years down 15 the road, that property no longer belongs to her. 16 sells it. Okay? Let's say she just --17 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Yes, I agree. I understand. 18 It's just like the guy that gave the MR. WHITSON: easement to the earlier one. So I assume this would go 19 20 to the Zoning Adjustment Board. 21 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Our Trust says that it stays in 22 the family for so many years. 23 MS. BONNIE FAUCETT: If for some reason they move, 24 this all will go back to the Faucett family. 25 MR. WHITSON: Our Legal is telling us what our next

1 step would be. MR. CORKINS: Denise, I have a question. 2 3 MS. EMBREY: Sure. Jim, maybe you can answer this 4 MR. CORKINS: question. I understand our five acres to keep it from 5 being a subdivision. 6 MS. EMBREY: Right. MR. CORKINS: I understand all that. If they deed 8 9 her five acres, she gets the house built on an acre and 10 a half in front. What's to keep her from deeding that 11 other three and a half acres back to the Trust? 12 MS. EMBREY: Well, she could. I'm just asking. There's no -- and 13 MR. CORKINS: 14 then she's back to an acre and a half. MS. EMBREY: She'd have to have at least two acres. 15 But, see, that's --16 17 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I'd be glad to. 18 MS. EMBREY: That's a violation. 19 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: That would work for me if that 20 would work for you. 21 MR. CORKINS: I'm just asking. This is new to me. 22 I'm asking if it's legal for them to do that. 23 MS. EMBREY: They've got to have 5.01. It can't go 24 any lower. 25 If you did do that -- we had a case MR. WHITSON:

1 earlier where that happened. 2 MR. CORKINS: I'm asking. 3 MR. WHITSON: And if push comes to shove, they're out of the Zoning --4 MR. REARDON: Compliance. 5 MR. WHITSON: -- compliance. You could have to tear 6 the house down. MR. FRY: It's illegal in Platte County to do that. 8 They won't record the deed. 9 MR. CORKINS: I understand that. 10 11 MR. FRY: You know what I was talking about? 12 MS. EMBREY: Yes, I do. 13 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Can I ask one more thing? 14 MR. WHITSON: Sure. 15 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I originally said that in the Zoning Regulations there is a statement that she owns 16 17 five acres or four acres. 18 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I own 3.2. 19 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: But if you own four acres, 20 right, you could give her two acres? 21 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I thought, yes. 22 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We've got it. I didn't bring 23 it, but it's in there, I can quarantee you. If we could 24 do that, we could increase what she owns from three and 25 a half acres to five acres easily without taking any

1 crop land. She can give two acres to her daughter to build on. We don't take any ag land out. It's done. 2 3 MR. WHITSON: But then you've created a subdivision. 4 MS. EMBREY: Exactly. 5 MR. WHITSON: Because it's less than the five 6 acres. MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: But if we deed her 20 acres, 8 then there's nothing that Zoning will do about it, and 9 10 it could still come out of crop land. 11 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Right. If we deed 20 acres, we 12 can do anything we want, and then 15 acres comes out of crop land instead of five. 13 14 MR. PURCELL: Your answer to that is correct. 15 Anything that's 20 acres and above does not come before this Board. 16 17 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We don't want to do that. Ι 18 don't want to take more out than that. But if it comes 19 to that, that's what it will be. 20 MS. DAY: I understand what you're saying, I really 21 do. 22 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: I'm not trying to be 23 argumentative here. I'm trying to go the easy way and 24 make it work. 25 To answer your question, if you were MR. PURCELL:

looking at 20 acres, it does not come before this Board. 1 2 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Right, I understand that. 3 MR. WHITSON: But if you give her the 20 acres and 4 she sells 15 acres back -- 17 acres back to you, then we've got an issue. 5 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Right, I understand that. 6 not wanting to do that. 8 MR. WHITSON: Okay. All right. 9 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: What I really want to do is 10 what --11 MR. WHITSON: I just wanted to make sure that we 12 understand what's --13 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: What I'm asking for you all to 14 do is what we've put before you and what you voted on. MR. WHITSON: Okay. You got something? 15 16 MS. EMBREY: I do. 17 MR. WHITSON: Okay. 18 In the Zoning Order, this is what she MS. EMBREY: 19 asked for. To construct one single-family dwelling on a 20 five-acre, more or less, parcel, split from a 96.50-acre 21 parcel. Okay. So on the application, what you asked 22 for is what you have to do. 23 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I understand. And I asked 24 for that because you told me that's what I had to do. 25 MS. EMBREY: This is what you requested.

1 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: That's what we're asking for. 2 Subject to Legal. 3 MR. WHITSON: Yeah. You have to have the 5.01 acres. 4 MS. EMBREY: Which is fine. That's fine. MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: 5 I assume that the --6 MR. WHITSON: 7 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: So it's a tie and we have to 8 appeal now? Well, I'm not sure. 9 MS. EMBREY: MR. WHITSON: A tie does not --10 11 MR. GADDIE: Yeah, it's vague. It basically says 12 that this Board either has to approve it or deny it by a majority. And you don't have a majority to approve it, 13 14 and you don't have a majority to deny it. So you're in 15 limbo at this point. I can't give you an answer. MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: And I've been in this room 16 17 for --18 MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Can I appeal it to one of you 19 people to approve this, please? 20 MR. WHITSON: Well, we've already had the vote. 21 Is there anything in our law that MR. PURCELL: 22 says at our next meeting where we have more than what 23 would create a tie, that this could be voted on again, 24 or we voted and that's it? That's a question of this 25 group, of you.

1 MS. EMBREY: It cannot come before this Board for 2 another year. 3 MR. PURCELL: Okay, so --Wait, wait, wait, wait. 4 MR. GADDIE: there's a decision made. 5 MS. EMBREY: 6 Yeah. MR. GADDIE: There was no decision. I'm telling you right now, you don't have a decision. 8 In my opinion, the matter is still open until we get --9 MR. PURCELL: That's where I am. 10 MR. GADDIE: -- until we get a decision on where you 11 12 stand at this point. 13 MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: Okay. Then I have a 14 question. 15 MR. GADDIE: Okay, hang on just a second. get to you. And so what I'm telling you is you haven't 16 17 reached a decision at this point. You just have made a 18 vote. 19 MR. WHITSON: Yeah. 20 MR. GADDIE: Rules are not clear on whether you've 21 approved this, whether you've denied it or what your 22 next step should be. 23 MR. PURCELL: I'm going to make a recommendation to the Chair. 24 25 MR. GADDIE: Go for it.

1 MR. PURCELL: To the Chair, I recommend that since 2 we have not made a decision, that at our next meeting or 3 whatever is appropriate for us as a group, that where we have more than the number of folks around the table, where we can definitively make a yes decision or a no 5 decision, that's my recommendation. 6 MR. WHITSON: Do I have a second? MR. CORKINS: I would second that motion. 8 9 MR. WHITSON: All in favor -- do we have anything 10 for next month, Denise, that you know? 11 MS. EMBREY: Yes. 12 MR. WHITSON: Okay. We would take it up at next 13 month's meeting. All in favor of not really postponing 14 it, but moving it --15 MR. REARDON: Tabling it? 16 MS. DAY: Tabling. 17 MR. PURCELL: So we can make a decision. 18 MS. DAY: Would it be a new vote or a continuation? 19 MR. WHITSON: It will be a new vote. 20 MS. DAY: A new vote, okay. I'm just asking for 21 clarification. 22 I think you could open it back up. MR. GADDIE: 23 MR. WHITSON: We'll just open it back up for 24 comments and everything. It will be just like having 25 another hearing.

1	MS. DAY: Okay.
2	MR. FRAKES: But it won't be fair to the people
3	that wasn't here tonight, because they're going to miss
4	out on a lot of conversation if they vote next month.
5	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: But we'll be back.
6	MR. FRAKES: Yeah, but there's a lot the
7	conversation that we've all had tonight won't be
8	MR. REARDON: They can read the minutes.
9	MR. CORKINS: It should be in the minutes of the
10	meeting.
11	MR. WHITSON: We will just hold, basically, another
12	hearing.
13	MS. DAY: And it will be in the minutes.
14	MR. WHITSON: It will be in the minutes.
15	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Will we have to pay again for
16	another service, another mailing?
17	MS. NICHOLE FAUCETT: I've paid twice.
18	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: We've already paid twice. Are
19	we going to have to pay for another full service?
20	MR. REARDON: I think you're just putting it on the
21	Agenda.
22	MR. WHITSON: We're just moving it, yeah. All in
23	favor of moving this to the next to the April
24	meeting?
25	MR. GADDIE: And further, in the meantime, I will

```
1
         research this issue to see if there's a clear answer as
 2
         to how this would apply. It's not clear.
              MR. FRY: It's in there.
 3
                           No, I'm not talking about -- I'm not
 4
              MR. GADDIE:
         researching that, I'm researching the vote.
 5
              MR. NEIL FAUCETT: The tie.
 6
              MR. GADDIE:
                           The tie.
              MR. WHITSON: Okay. All in favor of transferring
 8
 9
         this -- postpone it to the April meeting, say Aye.
10
              BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11
              MR. WHITSON: Opposed?
12
              BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
13
              MS. DAY:
                        This is April.
14
              MR. WHITSON: April, I mean. April meeting.
15
              MS. DAY: Don't you mean the May meeting?
16
              MR. WHITSON: What did I say?
17
              MS. DAY: April.
18
              MR. WHITSON: April 12.
19
              MS. DAY:
                       May meeting.
20
              MR. WHITSON:
                           Oh, yeah, it will be the May meeting.
21
         Yes?
22
              MS. TAYLOR FAUCETT: How many people are missing
23
         from tonight?
24
              MR. WHITSON: I think --
25
              MS. TAYLOR FAUCETT: How many people are you
```

1	missing to make this vote, like, to get a majority?
2	MS. EMBREY: Three.
3	MR. WHITSON: But we may hear some new information.
4	So just because the vote went this way tonight doesn't
5	mean it will go that way next month.
6	MS. TAYLOR FAUCETT: No, I'm just curious.
7	MR. WHITSON: Anything else, Denise?
8	MS. EMBREY: That's it.
9	MR. NEIL FAUCETT: Thank you for hearing us.
10	MR. WHITSON: Motion to adjourn?
11	MR. PURCELL: Motion to adjourn.
12	MR. REARDON: We just need a quorum.
13	MR. WHITSON: So moved. We need a quorum. Seven
14	members create a quorum.
15	Okay, meeting is adjourned.
16	(Hearing is adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE

I, Pamela K. Koch, Certified Court Reporter,

certify that I am the official court reporter for Division 1

of the Buchanan County Circuit Court; that on Wednesday,

April 14, 2021, I was present and reported all of the

proceedings had in the 7:00 PM PUBLIC HEARING of the BUCHANAN

COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD.

I further certify that the foregoing 54 pages, numbered 1 through 54, contain a true and accurate reproduction of the proceedings transcribed.

/s/ Pamela K. Koch

Pamela K. Koch, CCR #1220 Official Court Reporter Division 1 Buchanan County Circuit Court St. Joseph, Missouri 64501

Transcript Completed: April 26, 2021